arXiv:hep-th/0004195v2 29 Jun 2000

QMW-00-03
hep-th/0004195
April, 2000

Strongly Coupled Gravity and Duality

C.M. Hull

Physics Department, Queen Mary and Westfield College,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

ABSTRACT

A strong coupling limit of theories whose low-energy effective field theory is 5-dimensional $N = 8$ supergravity is proposed in which the gravitational coupling becomes large. It is argued that, if this limit exists, it should be a 6-dimensional theory with (4,0) supersymmetry compactified on a circle whose radius gives the 5-dimensional Planck length. The sector corresponding to the $D = 5$ supergravity multiplet is a (4,0) $D = 6$ superconformal field theory based on the (4,0) multiplet with 27 self-dual 2-forms, 42 scalars and, instead of a graviton, a fourth-rank tensor gauge field satisfying a self-duality constraint. The superconformal field theory has 32 supersymmetries and 32 conformal supersymmetries and its dimensional reduction gives the maximal supergravity in five dimensions. Electromagnetic duality generalises to a gravitational triality.

1. Introduction

The concept of a space-time manifold as the arena for physics may have to be modified at some very small distance scale, L, but at larger scales it should give a good description. There are a number of scenarios in which gravity could become strong at some much larger length scale, $l >> L$. For example, in M-theory L might be the 11-dimensional Planck length while l could be the length scale $l = \kappa^{2/D-2}$ giving the gravitational coupling constant κ for some D-dimensional gravity theory arising from a compactification or brane-world scenario. At energy scales E such that $1/l << E << 1/L$, gravity is strongly coupled but one might still have some sort of conventional space-time description, and in particular there could be a dual field theory describing this strong coupling phase. Alternatively, it could be that there is some dual theory describing the gravitational theory at *all* energies above some lower bound $E > 1/l$ without any cut-off at a higher scale. In M-theory, there would be dual 'phases' of M-theory, one of which provided a good description at low energies and the other in a certain high energy regime, and each would have its own field theory limit.

The aim is then to find a gravitational analogue of some of the weak-strong coupling dualities that have been found in field theories and string theories. At first sight, it would seem that such dual theories of gravity would be unlikely to exist, but the close relations between gravity and gauge theories and the implications such a dual description could have for quantum gravity suggest that it could be worthwhile to investigate this possibility.

A useful example to try and generalise is 5-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. It is non-renormalisable and so new physics should emerge at short distances. Its strong coupling limit is a 6-dimensional (2,0) supersymmetric theory, compactified on a circle, in which the gauge field is replaced by a 2-form gauge field with self-dual field strength, and the 5 scalar fields are all promoted to scalar fields in 6 dimensions [1-3]. The $D = 6$ theory is believed to be a non-trivial superconformally invariant quantum theory [3] and the $D = 5$

gauge coupling g_{YM} arises as the radius of the circle, $g_{YM}^2 = R$. The relationship between the $D = 5$ and $D = 6$ theories is straightforward to establish for the free case in which the Yang-Mills gauge group is abelian, but in the interacting theory the 6-dimensional origin of the $D = 5$ non-abelian interactions is mysterious; there are certainly no local covariant interactions that can be written down that give Yang-Mills interactions when dimensionally reduced [4]. Nonetheless, the fact that these $D = 5$ and $D = 6$ theories arise as the world volume theories of D4 and M5 branes respectively [5] gives strong support for the existence of such a 6-dimensional origin for the gauge interactions. The (2,0) conformal theory also arises in the compactification of the IIB string on $K3$ [6] and as the zero-slope limit of a little string theory [7-9].

Interactions of the 'mysterious' type that are believed to occur in the (2,0) conformal field theory will be referred to here as 'M-interactions'. Given that such interactions with no conventional field theory formulation arise in the M5-brane world-volume theory, it is natural to ask whether similar M-interactions could arise elsewhere; given that they arise in one corner of M-theory, it seems reasonable to expect that there are other corners in which such phenomena occur.

The theory that will be considered here is five-dimensional $N = 8$ supergravity (ungauged), which has a global E_6 symmetry and a local $Sp(4) = USp(8)$ symmetry [10]. It is non-renormalisable, and will be regarded as arising as a massless sector of some consistent theory, such as M-theory compactified on a 6-torus, in which the global E_6 symmetry is broken to a discrete U-duality subgroup [11]. The massless bosonic fields consist of a graviton, 27 abelian vector fields and 42 scalars. The action is

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{l^3} R - \frac{1}{4l} F^2 + \dots \right) \tag{1.1}$$

where $l = \kappa^{2/3}$ is the 5-dimensional Planck length. If this does have a dual at strong gravitational coupling, i.e. a limit as $l \to \infty$, then it is natural to look first for a theory with 32 supersymmetries and with $E_6 \times Sp(4)$ symmetry, as the simplest possibility would be if these symmetries survived at strong coupling.

The arguments used in this paper will be similar to those used in [11,12,13] for extrapolating to large values of dimensionless string couplings and moduli, and it will be assumed that all symmetries are preserved and BPS states are protected and survive as the coupling l is increased. The symmetries then impose stringent constraints on what can happen, and one can then seek checks on the predicted strong-coupling dual.

Comparison with the $D = 5$ gauge theory case suggests seeking a 6-dimensional theory compactified on a circle in which the 27 $D = 5$ vector fields are replaced with 27 self-dual 2-form gauge fields in $D = 6$. Indeed, the decomposition of $N = 8$ supergravity into $N = 4$ multiplets includes five $N = 4$ vector multiplets with coupling constant $g_{YM}^2 = l$, and the strong coupling limit of each should give a (2,0) 6-dimensional tensor multiplet, as will be argued in section 2. However, the 27 vector fields of the $N = 8$ theory fit into an irreducible representation of E_6, and so if E_6 symmetry is to be maintained and if some of the vector fields become self-dual 2-form gauge fields in 6 dimensions, then all of them should. Furthermore, all the fields fit into an irreducible multiplet of the $N = 8$ supersymmetry algebra, so that if the 32 supersymmetries survive at strong coupling and some of the fields become 6-dimensional, then the whole theory should become 6-dimensional. As in the gauge theory case, all the scalar fields should survive at strong coupling and so should lift to 42 scalars in 6 dimensions. If the $D = 6$ theory were conformally invariant, then the $D = 5$ gravitational coupling l could arise from the radius of the circle R.

This then suggests that the strong coupling dual field theory should be a superconformal theory in six dimensions with 32 ordinary supersymmetries (and a further 32 conformal supersymmetries), and should have 42 scalars and 27 self-dual 2-form gauge fields. The unique supergravity theory in 6 dimensions with 32 supersymmetries fails on every one of these requirements with the obvious exception of having 32 supersymmetries. Remarkably, such a conformal supermultiplet in six dimensions with 32 supersymmetries, $Sp(4)$ R-symmetry, 42 scalars and 27 self-dual 2-form gauge fields *does* exist and so is an immediate candidate for a strong coupling dual. However, instead of a graviton, it has an exotic fourth-rank tensor gauge field satisfying a self-duality constraint. The relation between linearised 5-dimensional $N = 8$ supergravity and this free 6-dimensional theory will be established here, but there are no covariant local interactions in $D = 6$ that could give rise to the $D = 5$ supergravity interactions, so that their 6-dimensional origin would have to be some form of M-interactions. In this case there is no analogue of the M5-brane argument to support this, although the M5-brane case does set a suggestive precedent. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the possibility that the strong coupling limit of the $D = 5$ theory is this exotic 6-dimensional theory. In the context in which the $D = 5$ supergravity is the massless sector of M-theory on T^6, then the $D = 6$ superconformal theory would be a field theory sector of a new 6-dimensional phase of M-theory.

2. $D = 5$ Super-Yang-Mills Theory and the $D = 6$ (2,0) Tensor Theory

The $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theory in five dimensions has a vector field A, 5 scalars ϕ^I and four 4-component fermions λ^a satisfying a symplectic Majorana reality condition, all taking values in the adjoint of the gauge group. There is a global $Spin(5) = Sp(2)$ R-symmetry under which the scalars transform as a **5** and the fermions as a spinor **4**. The action is

$$S = -\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \int d^5x \left(\frac{1}{4} F^2 + \frac{1}{2} (D\phi)^2 + ... \right) \tag{2.1}$$

The coupling constant g_{YM} is dimensionful and $l = g_{YM}^2$ is a length scale. The theory is non-renormalisable, and so new physics is expected at energies $E \geq 1/l$. The 5-dimensional gauge theory is then a low-energy effective theory and it will be supposed that the $D = 5$ super-Yang-Mills multiplet arises as part of a consistent theory that can be extrapolated to scales $E > 1/l$. For example, it could arise as part of the 5-dimensional heterotic string, or on a stack of D4-branes in the IIA string, and in both these cases there would be in addition supergravity fields and an infinite number of massive fields in the full theory.

Consider the behaviour of the theory as the coupling g_{YM} is increased. The simplest possibility would be for the theory to continue to be invariant under 16 supersymmetries and the $Spin(5)$ R-symmetry, for all 5 scalar fields to remain so that the theory has the same moduli space, and for the BPS spectrum to remain the same, although their masses will depend on g_{YM}.

The theory has 1/2-supersymmetric BPS solitons or 0-branes given by lifting self-dual Yang-Mills instantons in 4 Euclidean dimensions to soliton world-lines in 4+1 dimensions. These solitons have mass

$$M \propto \frac{|n|}{g_{YM}^2} \tag{2.2}$$

where n is the instanton number, so that these become light as g_{YM} becomes large. A supersymmetric theory in 6 dimensions compactified on a circle of radius R gives a tower of 1/2 supersymmetric multiplets of BPS massive states with momentum n/R in the circular dimension for integers n, with masses

$$M \propto \frac{|n|}{R} \tag{2.3}$$

In [1], it was suggested that the light solitons in $D = 5$ Yang-Mills should be reinterpreted as Kaluza-Klein modes for a 6-dimensional theory on a circle of radius $R \propto g_{YM}^2$. Theories in six dimensions with 16 supersymmetries can have either (1,1) supersymmetry or (2,0) supersymmetry[⋆], but only (2,0) supersymmetry allows $Spin(5)$ R-symmetry and keeping the same number of scalars, and this gives a unique candidate for a strong coupling limit that is consistent with the assumptions, which is the superconformal (2,0) theory. In the abelian case, this is the free field theory of the (2,0) tensor multiplet in 6 dimensions. This multiplet consists

---

[⋆] (p, q) supersymmetry in 6 dimensions has p right-handed symplectic Majorana-Weyl supercharges and q left-handed ones of 5 scalars ϕ^I, four 4-component symplectic Majorana-Weyl fermions, and a 2-form gauge field B_{MN} satisfying a self-duality condition $H = *H$ where H is the field strength $H = dB$. This theory has no dimensional coupling constants (after rescaling the fields) and is superconformal invariant with superconformal group $OSp^*(8/4)$. This has bosonic subgroup $SO^*(8) \times USp(4) = SO(6,2) \times Sp(2)$ which is the product of the conformal group and the R-symmetry group, together with 32 fermionic generators. Dimensional reduction on a circle of radius R gives the $D = 5$ abelian super-Yang-Mills theory with action (2.1) and coupling constant $g_{YM}^2 = R$.

This leads to the conjecture that the strong coupling limit of the $D = 5$ super-Yang-Mills theory is an interacting 6-dimensional superconformal theory with (2,0) supersymmetry and $OSp^*(8/4)$ superconformal symmetry compactified on a circle of radius $R = g_{YM}^2$ [1-3]. In the abelian case, it is easily verified that dimensional reduction of the (2,0) theory gives the $D = 5$ theory, but there are no local covariant interactions that can be written for the tensor multiplet that give the non-abelian $D = 5$ interactions on dimensional reduction. Nonetheless, there are arguments that such an interacting theory in $D = 6$ should exist, based on the assumption that M-theory is consistent. For example, it arises as part of the world-volume theory for a stack of M5-branes [5] and there is a decoupling limit leaving precisely the (2,0) interacting superconformal theory [1-3]. The consistency of this limit and the existence of a non-trivial quantum theory in six dimensions depends crucially on the conformal invariance of the (2,0) theory. An important check on this interpretation is that when the original 4 spatial dimensions are compactified on T^4, the theory has an $SL(5, \mathbb{Z})$ invariance [1,2], while for the uncompactified theory in 4+1 dimensions there is evidence that the theory has 5+1 dimensional Lorentz invariance in the limit $g_{YM} \to \infty$. The M5-brane realisation leads to a matrix theory construction of the interacting theory in discrete light-cone gauge [14].

The interacting theory then has some 'M-interactions' which give rise to the 5-dimensional non-abelian interactions but do not have a conventional field theory formulation in 6 dimensions. It is not clear whether the tensor multiplet provides the correct degrees of freedom for the interacting theory, or whether something more exotic such as string fields might be needed [3]. It was originally suggested that the interacting (2,0) theory might be a theory of tensionless non-critical strings [6], but later it was proposed that it could be a superconformal quantum field theory of a more conventional type (see e.g. [15]).

The scalars ϕ in the action (2.1) have dimension one, but in 6-dimensional superconformal field theory the canonical dimension for scalars is two. Then defining the dimension-2 scalars

$$\Phi^I = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2}\phi^I \tag{2.4}$$

the 6-dimensional action

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int d^6x(\partial\Phi)^2 + \dots \tag{2.5}$$

has no dimensional parameters but gives the scalar part of (2.1) on reducing on a circle of radius $R = g_{YM}^2$. The limit leading to the (2,0) theory is a strong coupling limit in which $g_{YM}^2 \to \infty$ keeping $\Phi^I = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2}\phi^I$ fixed, so that the scalars ϕ are all scaled with g_{YM}^2. The (2,0) theory has no dimensionful parameters and scales are set by the expectation values of the scalars Φ.

The BPS states of the $D = 5$ theory include the instantonic 0-branes, electrically charged 0-branes and magnetically charged strings. The electric 0-branes are the W-boson multiplets with mass $M \propto \phi$ where ϕ is the magnitude of the scalar expectation value, $\phi = | < \phi^I > |$, and the BPS strings have tension $\Phi = \phi/g_{YM}^2$.

The five-dimensional $N = 2n$ superalgebra with automorphism group $Sp(n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q^a_\alpha, Q^b_\beta\} = {}& \Omega^{ab}\big(\Gamma^\mu C\big)_{\alpha\beta}P_\mu + \Omega^{ab}C_{\alpha\beta}K \\ & + \big(\Gamma^\mu C\big)_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab}_\mu + C_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\big(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}C\big)_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ are spacetime indices, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ are spinor indices, $a = 1, \dots, N$ are $Sp(n)$ indices, $C_{\alpha\beta}$ is the charge conjugation matrix and Ω^{ab} is the symplectic invariant of $Sp(n)$. The supercharges Q^a_α are symplectic Majorana spinors satisfying

$$(\bar{Q})^\alpha_a = C^{\alpha\beta}\Omega_{ab}Q^b_\beta \tag{2.7}$$

For the $N=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory, the 5 central charges $Z^{ab}=-Z^{ba}$ with $\Omega_{ab}Z^{ab}=0$ are proportional to the five electric charges $q^I \propto \int tr(*F\phi^I)$ and are carried by massive vector multiplets. There is no vector field coupling to the singlet central charge K, which is a topological charge carried by the instantonic 0-branes and is proportional to the instanton number. The spatial components Z^{ab}_i $(i,j=1,...,4)$ of Z^{ab}_μ are the magnetic charges carried by the magnetically charged strings. The other charges on the right-hand-side of (2.6) are 2,3 and 4-brane charges [16]; for example, a vortex solution in 2+1 dimensions lifts to a 2-brane in 4+1 dimensions with charge Z_{ij}.

There are two distinct types of 1/2 supersymmetric BPS 0-brane representations [17]. The first has central charges $K=0$ and $Z^{ab}=iq\sigma^3\otimes\sigma^2$ for some charge q, breaking the R-symmetry from $Spin(5)$ to $Spin(4)$, and is a massive vector multiplet with mass $M=|q|$. The second has $Z=0$ and $K\neq 0$ and is a massive self-dual tensor multiplet with mass $M=|K|$ [17] and preserves the full $Spin(5)$ R-symmetry. The W-bosons fit into massive vector multiplets and the instantonic 0-branes fit into massive self-dual tensor multiplets. The limit in which the tower of instantonic 0-branes becomes massless is necessarily a (2,0) theory in 6 dimensions; this is because the Kaluza-Klein modes for a (2,0) tensor theory fit into massive tensor multiplets while those for a (1,1) theory in 6 dimensions would fit into massive vector multiplets. The central charge K becomes the 6th component of momentum, $P^M=(P^\mu,K)$, while the central charges Z^{ab} fit together with the $D=5$ string charges Z^{ab}_μ to give the $D=6$ string charges $Z^{ab}_M=(Z^{ab}_\mu,Z^{ab})$.

The six-dimensional (2,0) theory has BPS strings coupling to the self-dual antisymmetric tensor gauge fields of tension Φ where Φ is the the magnitude of the expectation value of Φ^I. On dimensional reduction, the unwrapped strings give the magnetic strings in five dimensions of tension $\Phi=\phi/g^2_{YM}$ while the wrapped strings give the W-bosons with mass $M \propto R\Phi = \phi$. Thus the BPS spectra match, and the strong coupling limit is the one in which $g_{YM} \to \infty$ while the tensions of the BPS strings are kept fixed.

At the origin of moduli space at which the scalar expectation values all vanish, all W-bosons become massless and the full gauge symmetry of the $D = 5$ Yang-Mills theory is restored and, as $\phi \to 0$, the tensions of the magnetically charged strings approach zero. In the six dimensional theory, the tensions of the BPS strings also approach zero as $\Phi \to 0$. The nature of the theory at such points is unclear. For example, one guess might be that it could be described by some kind of string field theory, but it was argued in [3] that such a description would over-count the degrees of freedom.

These arguments should apply to 5-dimensional Yang-Mills theories independently of how they are embedded in other theories. The (2,0) superconformal field theory is believed to be a consistent quantum theory in its own right, and so can provide a complete formulation of the theory described at weak coupling by an effective 5-dimensional Yang-Mills theory. Conversely, any theory whose effective description is given by 5-dimensional $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theory plus other $N = 4$ multiplets will, in the limit in which the Yang-Mills coupling becomes strong while the magnetic string tensions remain constant, be the six-dimensional (2,0) conformal field theory plus other supermultiplets. For example, the dynamics of a stack of m parallel D4-brane is described by $D = 5$, $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theory with $SU(m)$ gauge symmetry plus a tower of massive $D = 5, N = 4$ multiplets, coupled to a 10-dimensional bulk theory consisting of supergravity plus an infinite number of massive multiplets. At strong coupling, the D4-branes become M5-branes wrapped on a circle of radius $R = g_{YM}^2$ whose dynamics are described by the $D = 6$ (2,0) conformal field theory theory, plus a tower of massive $D = 6$ (2,0) supermultiplets, coupled to an 11-dimensional bulk theory whose massless sector is 11-dimensional supergravity compactified on a circle of radius R. As another example, the heterotic string compactified on T^5 is described by $D = 5$, $N = 4$ Yang-Mills theory plus $D = 5$, $N = 4$ supergravity plus a tower of massive $D = 5, N = 4$ multiplets.

These include massive string modes and Kaluza-Klein modes. At strong coupling this becomes the six-dimensional (2,0) supersymmetric string theory obtained by compactifying type IIB string theory on $K3$ [6], further compactified to five dimensions on a circle of radius $R = g_{YM}^2$. The 6-dimensional (2,0) theory at generic points in moduli space is (2,0) supergravity coupled to (2,0) tensor multiplets, together with an infinite number of massive (2,0) multiplets, with tensionless strings appearing at special points in the moduli space [6].

In both cases, each massless vector multiplet in $D = 5$ becomes a $D = 6$ (2,0) tensor multiplet at strong coupling at generic points in moduli space, with tensionless strings occurring at special points. It is natural to expect the same to happen for $N = 4$ vector multiplets in any $N \geq 4$ supersymmetric theory in five dimensions, and in particular that the abelian $N = 4$ vector multiplets occurring in $D = 5, N = 8$ supergravity theory become six dimensional (2,0) tensor multiplets.

3. $N = 8$ Supergravity in Five Dimensions

Maximal supergravity theories have a local symmetry group H and a global symmetry G [18], broken to a discrete U-duality subgroup in the quantum theory [11]. The scalars take values in the coset space G/H and, if the local H symmetry is fixed by choosing the symmetric gauge, then a diagonal R-symmetry subgroup H of $G \times H$ is manifest. The $N = 8$ supergravity theory in five dimensions [10] has local $H = Sp(4) = USp(8)$ symmetry and a global $G = E_6$ symmetry. In symmetric gauge, the $N = 8$ supergravity multiplet decomposes into the following representations of the $Spin(3) \times Sp(4)$ little group:

$$2^8 = (5,1) + (3,27) + (1,42) + (4,8) + (2,48) \tag{3.1}$$

The vector fields transform as the **27** of E_6 while the 42 scalars take values in $E_6/Sp(4)$. The Einstein-frame action takes the form (1.1), with l the 5-dimensional

Planck length. If the theory is obtained from 11 dimensional supergravity compactified on a 6-torus of volume L^6, then l is given in terms of L and the 11-dimensional Planck length l_{11} by $l = l_{11}^3/L^2$.

The $N = 8$ superalgebra has automorphism group $Sp(4)$ and is given by (2.6) with $a, b = 1, \dots, 8$. The algebra with scalar central charges only is

$$\{Q^a_\alpha, Q^b_\beta\} = \Omega^{ab}\big(\Gamma^\mu C\big)_{\alpha\beta} P_\mu + C_{\alpha\beta}(Z^{ab} + \Omega^{ab} K) \tag{3.2}$$

The 27 central charges Z^{ab} satisfy $Z^{ab} = -Z^{ba}$, $\Omega_{ab}Z^{ab} = 0$, and are the electric charges for the 27 vector fields (dressed with scalars). There are 28 central charges but only 27 vector fields, and K is not the conserved charge for any gauge field. However, on dimensional reduction from 5 to 4 dimensions, K becomes one of the 28 magnetic charges of $D = 4, N = 8$ supergravity, and is the one coupling to the gravi-photon field (i.e. the electromagnetic field from the dimensional reduction of the metric). U-duality requires that 1/2-supersymmetric states with $M = |K|$ occur in the $D = 4$ BPS spectrum, and K is quantized [11]. However, these $D = 4$ states must arise from 1/2-supersymmetric states in $D = 5$, carrying the central charge that gives the Kaluza-Klein magnetic charge in four dimensions. They must then be 1/2-supersymmetric $D = 5$ states with $M = |K|$, and K should be quantized, so that $K \propto n/l$ where n is an integer.

Thus BPS states carrying the charge K should be present in the $D = 5$ spectrum. A definition of the charge K was given in [16], where it was shown that it indeed arises in the superalgebra (3.2). Examples of 1/2-supersymmetric supergravity solutions carrying the charge K are metrics of the form $N \times \mathbb{R}$ where N is a self-dual gravitational instanton [19] in 4 Euclidean dimensions and $\mathbb{R}$ is time, with all other fields trivial [20,16]. If N is the multi-Taub NUT instanton, the solution has an interpretation as a multi Kaluza-Klein monopole space [21] and K is the Kaluza-Klein monopole charge. If N is an ALE space, the solution can be interpreted as representing a set of 0-branes or ALE-branes [16].

The BPS representations in $D=5$ were classified in [17]. A non-zero value for Z breaks the E_6 to a subgroup and BPS states carrying the charge Z will have masses dependent on the 42 scalars, through standard BPS formulae, as in e.g. [22]. However, if Z is zero and K is non-zero, the E_6 is unbroken (K is an E_6 singlet) and BPS states with mass $M=|K|$ preserve half the supersymmetry and have a mass that is independent of all the scalar fields (in Einstein frame). Such states have Einstein-frame mass

$$M=\frac{c|n|}{l} \tag{3.3}$$

for some numerical constant c and integer n. Comparing with the $D=4$ BPS spectrum shows that states should occur for all values of the integer n. For the gravitational instanton solutions, n is a topological number (essentially the NUT charge or instanton number) [16]. The mass in $D=5$ string frame is

$$M_s=\frac{c|n|}{lg^{2/3}} \tag{3.4}$$

with an unusual power of the string coupling g.

The BPS spectrum is then expected to have $M=|K|$ BPS states with (3.3) for all n which become light as $l\to\infty$ and the examples of [1,12] suggest identifying them with Kaluza-Klein modes for a 6-dimensional theory compactified on a circle of radius $R\propto l$. Then the charge K would become the 6th component of the momentum and these states becoming light would not break any of the $E_6\times Sp(4)$ duality symmetry. Of course, this suggestion requires many checks. However, if this limit does give a 6-dimensional theory, it can be expected to give a theory with 32 supersymmetries and $E_6\times Sp(4)$ symmetry. These BPS states fit into massive $D=5$ multiplets containing 42 massive scalars and 27 massive self-dual 2-form fields B, satisfying $dB=m*B$, in the **27** of E_6 [17] (see also section 8). This is precisely what is needed for the Kaluza-Klein modes of a massless 6-dimensional theory with 27 self-dual 2-forms and 42 scalars. Then if these instantonic 0-branes are to be interpreted as Kaluza-Klein modes of a 6-dimensional theory with 32 supersymmetries, then the $D = 6$ theory will have 27 self-dual 2-forms and 42 scalars, as demanded in the introduction.

BPS states in M-theory or string theory have associated supergravity solutions which in some cases are singular and in others are non-singular. The 1/2-supersymmetric supergravity solutions carrying the K-charge with $Z = 0$ include the multi-instanton solutions $N \times \mathbb{R}$. The multi-instanton metric of [19] has Dirac (or Misner) string singularities, although the spin-connection is well-defined. The space is asymptotic to flat $\mathbb{R}^4$ (the curvature is finite and falls off asymptotically, even though the metric is ill-defined). A non-singular metric is obtained if the charges of the sources are all equal, and suitable identifications are made to give an ALE space which is like $\mathbb{R}^4/\Gamma$ asymptotically, for a discrete group Γ (which is $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for the explicit solutions of [19]). Without the discrete identifications, the solutions with various K charges are asymptotic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ but with singular metrics. However, as will be discussed in section 6, these singularities can be avoided by using dual variables. It will be assumed that the BPS states with $M = |K|$ can be viewed as 0-branes and can be identified with Kaluza-Klein modes.

4. Theories with 32 Supersymmetries in Six Dimensions

The considerations of previous sections have suggested looking for a conformal theory in six dimensions with 32 supersymmetries, $E_6 \times Sp(4)$ symmetry, 27 self-dual 2-forms and 42 scalars. In this section the various $D = 6$ multiplets with 32 supersymmetries will be discussed. In six dimensions, the (p, q) superalgebra has p right-handed symplectic Majorana-Weyl supercharges and q left-handed ones, with automorphism group $Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$. The massless representations decompose into representations of the little group $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$, and a list of 'physically acceptable' representations (reducing to $D = 4$ representations with spin not greater than 2) is given in [23]. For 32 supersymmetries, there are three possibilities, (2,2),(3,1) or (4,0) supersymmetry (together of course with (1,3) and

(0,4)).[⋆] The (2,2) multiplet has the $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times Sp(2)\times Sp(2)$ content

$$\begin{aligned}&(3,3;1,1)+(1,3;5,1)+(3,1;1,5)+(1,1;5,5)+(2,2;4,4)\\&+(2,3;4,1)+(3,2;1,4)+(2,1;4,5)+(1,2;5,4)\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

and is the maximal supergravity multiplet in 6 dimensions, obtained by reducing 11-dimensional supergravity on a 5-torus. The supergravity has duality symmetries $G=SO(5,5)$ and $H=SO(5)\times SO(5)\sim Sp(2)\times Sp(2)$, and the graviton is in the (3,3;1,1) representation.

The (3,1) multiplet has the $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times Sp(3)\times Sp(1)$ content [23]

$$\begin{aligned}&(4,2;1,1)+(3,1;6,2)+(1,1;14',2)+(2,2;14,1)\\&+(3,2;6,1)+(2,1;14,2)+(1,2;14',1)\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

The (3,1) multiplet has 28 scalars in the $(14',2)$ representation of $Sp(3)\times Sp(1)$, and the unique coset structure that this is consistent with is

$$\frac{F_4}{Sp(3)\times Sp(1)}\tag{4.3}$$

suggesting that $G=F_4$ and $H=Sp(3)\times Sp(1)$ in this case; it is remarkable that the exceptional group F_4 plays a role here (in the non-compact form with maximal compact subgroup $Sp(3)\times Sp(1)$.) There are 14 vector fields and 12 self-dual 2-forms.

The (4,0) multiplet has the $SU(2)\times SU(2)\times Sp(4)$ content [23]

$$(5,1;1)+(3,1;27)+(1,1;42)+(4,1;8)+(2,1;48)\tag{4.4}$$

The (4,0) multiplet is remarkably simple, and has all the properties demanded in the introduction: there are 27 self-dual 2-forms and 42 scalars, it has an $Sp(4)$ R-symmetry and the spectrum is consistent with rigid $G=E_6$ invariance (with the 2-forms transforming in the $\mathbf{27}$). Moreover, the free theory based on this multiplet is

---

[⋆] The possibility of (3,1) and (4,0) multiplets in $D=6$ was noted in [24].

a superconformally invariant theory, with conformal supergroup $OSp^*(8/8)$. This has bosonic subgroup $USp(8) \times SO^*(8) = Sp(4) \times SO(6,2)$ and 64 fermionic generators. Thus this multiplet satisfies *all* the conditions required for a strong-coupling limit of the $D = 5$ supergravity, and a theory of this multiplet with M-interactions is the candidate dual theory.

However, both the (3,1) and (4,0) multiplets have no graviton (which would be in the $(3,3)$ of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$) but instead have exotic tensor gauge fields. The (4,0) multiplet has a gauge field whose physical degrees of freedom are in the $(5,1)$ representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ while the (3,1) multiplet has a field in the $(4,2)$ representation. These will be discussed in the next section, and the covariant gauge fields with these degrees of freedom will be given.

5. Exotic Tensor Gauge fields

In discussing the representations under the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ little group for massless particles in 6 dimensions, it is useful to introduce indices $A, B = 1,2$ for the first $SU(2)$ and indices $A', B' = 1,2$ for the second, together with $SO(4)$ vector indices $i, j = 1, ..., 4$, raised and lowered with the metric δ_{ij}. The translation between the two types of index uses the sigma matrices $\sigma_i^{AB'}$, and the indices A, B' can be combined into a 4-component spinor index $\alpha = (A, A')$.

The (4,0) supermultiplet has fields in the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ representations $(s,1)$ for $s = 1,2,3,4,5$ corresponding to rank $s-1$ totally symmetric tensors $\phi_{A_1 \dots A_{s-1}} = \phi_{(A_1 \dots A_{s-1})}$. The (1,1) is a scalar and the (2,1) is a chiral spinor. The (3,1) representation is a symmetric bi-spinor $B_{AB} = B_{BA}$, corresponding to a self-dual 2-form $B_{ij} = -B_{ji}$,

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} B^{kl} \tag{5.1}$$

while the (1,3) representation $B_{A'B'}$ is an anti-self-dual 2-form.

The (5,1) representation is a symmetric 4th rank $SU(2)$ tensor $C_{ABCD} = C_{(ABCD)}$ which corresponds to a 4th rank tensor $C_{ij\,kl}$ of $SO(4)$ with the same algebraic properties as the Weyl tensor:

$$C_{ij\,kl} = -C_{ji\,kl} = -C_{ij\,lk} = C_{kl\,ij}; \qquad C_{[ijk]l} = 0, \qquad C^{k}{}_{jkl} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

In addition it is self-dual:

$$C_{ij\,mn} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}C^{kl}{}_{mn} = \frac{1}{2}C_{ij}{}^{mn}\epsilon_{klmn} \tag{5.3}$$

or $C = *C = C*$.

The (4,1) representation gives a spinor field ψ_{ABC} which translates to a spinor-valued 2-form ψ_{ijA} which is self-dual

$$\psi_{ijA} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijkl}\psi^{kl}_{A} \tag{5.4}$$

This is a spinor-valued self-dual 2-form field ψ^{α}_{ij} ($\psi = *\psi$) satisfying the chirality condition $\Gamma^0\psi_{ij} = \psi_{ij}$, or equivalently $(\Gamma^j)^{\beta}{}_{\alpha}\psi^{\alpha}_{ij} = 0$.

The (3,1) multiplet has a field $D_{ABCD'} = D_{(ABC)D'}$ in the (4,2) representation. This corresponds to a 3rd rank tensor $D_{ij\,k}$ satisfying

$$D_{ij\,k} = -D_{ji\,k}, \qquad D_{ij}{}^{j} = 0, \qquad D_{[ij\,k]} = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

In addition it satisfies the self-duality constraint

$$D_{ij\,k} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijlm}D^{lm}{}_{k} \tag{5.6}$$

It is straightforward to find the free covariant fields in six dimensions corresponding to these degrees of freedom. The (3,1) representation arises from a 2-form gauge field B_{MN} (where $M, N = 0, 1, ..., 5$) with the gauge invariance

$$\delta B_{MN} = \partial_{[M}\lambda_{N]} \tag{5.7}$$

and invariant field strength 3-form $H = dB$ satisfying the self-duality constraint

$$H_{MNP} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{MNPQRS}H^{QRS} \tag{5.8}$$

The (5,1) representation arises from a gauge field $C_{MN\,PQ}$ with the algebraic properties of the Riemann tensor

$$C_{MN\,PQ} = -C_{NM\,PQ} = -C_{MN\,QP} = C_{PQ\,MN}, \qquad C_{[MNP]Q} = 0 \tag{5.9}$$

and the gauge symmetry

$$\delta C_{MN\,PQ} = \partial_{[M}\chi_{N]PQ} + \partial_{[P}\chi_{Q]MN} - 2\partial_{[M}\chi_{NPQ]} \tag{5.10}$$

with parameter $\chi_{MPQ} = -\chi_{MQP}$. The invariant field strength is

$$G_{MNP\,QRS} = \frac{1}{36}(\partial_M\partial_S C_{NP\,RS} + \ldots) = \partial_{[M}C_{NP]\,[QR,S]} \tag{5.11}$$

so that

$$G_{MNP\,QRS} = G_{[MNP]\,[QRS]} = G_{QRS\,MNP} \tag{5.12}$$

and satisfies the self-duality constraint

$$G_{MNP\,QRS} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{MNPTUV}G^{TUV}{}_{QRS} \tag{5.13}$$

or $G = *G = G*$.

The (4,2) representation arises from a gauge field $D_{MN\,P}$ satisfying

$$D_{MN\,P} = D_{[MN]\,P}, \qquad D_{[MN\,P]} = 0 \tag{5.14}$$

with the gauge symmetry

$$\delta D_{MN\,P} = \partial_{[M}\alpha_{N]P} - \partial_{[M}\alpha_{NP]} \tag{5.15}$$

with parameter α_{NP}. The invariant field strength is

$$S_{MNP\,QR} = \partial_{[M}D_{NP]\,[Q,R]} \tag{5.16}$$

and satisfies the self-duality constraint

$$S_{MNP\,QR} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{MNPTUV}S^{TUV}{}_{QR} \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, the covariant description of the spinor-valued 2-form ψ^{α}_{ij} in the (4,1) representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ is a fermionic 2-form gauge field which is also a Weyl spinor, satisfying $\psi^{\alpha}_{MN} = \psi^{\alpha}_{[MN]}$ where α is now a 4-component $D = 6$ Weyl spinor index. The gauge symmetry is

$$\delta\psi_{MN} = \partial_{[M}\epsilon_{N]} \tag{5.18}$$

with parameter a spinor-vector ϵ^{α}_{N}. The field strength

$$\chi^{\alpha}_{MNP} = \partial_{[P}\psi^{\alpha}_{MN]} \tag{5.19}$$

satisfies the self-duality constraint

$$\chi^{\alpha}_{MNP} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{MNPTUV}\chi^{TUV\alpha} \tag{5.20}$$

The field in the (4,0) multiplet is in the (4,1;8) of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times Sp(4)$ and is a field $\psi^{\alpha a}_{MN}$ carrying an $Sp(4)$ index $a = 1, \ldots, 8$, and satisfying a symplectic Majorana-Weyl reality condition.

The other representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ occurring in the (p,q) supermultiplets have more conventional covariant representations. The (3,3) comes from a graviton h_{MN}, the (2,2) from a vector gauge field, the (3,2) from a chiral gravitino ψ_M^α, the (2,1) from a chiral spinor and the (1,1) from a scalar.

6. Electromagnetic Duality

In D dimensions, an abelian 1-form gauge field A_μ can be dualised to an n-form $\tilde{A}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_n}$ where

$$n = D - 3 \tag{6.1}$$

with field strength $\tilde{F} = *F$ where $F = dA, \tilde{F} = d\tilde{A}$. Electric charges lead to a well-defined potential A but $\tilde{A}$ has Dirac string singularities. Magnetically charged $n-1$ branes give fields for which $\tilde{A}$ is well-defined but A has Dirac string singularities. Magnetic charges can be accommodated by allowing A to be a connection for a non-trivial bundle, or by switching to a description in terms of $\tilde{A}$, or by allowing string singularities in A. The generalisation of this duality to non-abelian gauge theory is problematic, and in particular one cannot write down covariant local non-abelian interactions for $\tilde{A}$ if $n > 1$.

This picture can be generalised to gravity; the results will be summarised here and developed in more detail elsewhere. Consider the free theory given by taking the D dimensional metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \tag{6.2}$$

and linearising Einstein's equations in the fluctuation $h_{\mu\nu}$ about the Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. Similarly to the gauge theory case, in the free theory one can dualise one of the indices on $h_{\mu\nu}$ to obtain a field

$$D_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_n\,\nu} = D_{[\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_n]\,\nu} \tag{6.3}$$

or both indices to obtain a gauge field

$$C_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n\,\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_n} = C_{[\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_n]\,[\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_n]} \tag{6.4}$$

where again $n = D - 3$. For example, the gauge field C has a field strength

$$G_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{n+1}\,\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_{n+1}} \tag{6.5}$$

defined by a formula similar to (5.11), related to the linearised Riemann curvature $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(h)$ by

$$G_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{n+1}\,\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{n+1}\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_{n+1}\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{6.6}$$

or $G = *R*$. As in the gauge theory case, the generalisation to the interacting theory is problematic, and one cannot write covariant local gravitational interactions for the dual fields C or D.

These dualities are easily derived in physical gauge. Introducing transverse coordinates $i, j = 1, ..., D - 2$, a vector field has physical light-cone gauge degrees of freedom A_i in the vector representation of the little group $SO(D - 2)$ and can be dualised to an $n = D - 3$ form

$$\tilde{A}_{j_1\ldots j_n} = \epsilon_{j_1\ldots j_n i}A^i \tag{6.7}$$

which can then be identified with the physical degrees of freedom of an n-form gauge field $\tilde{A}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$, with gauge invariance $\delta\tilde{A} = d\lambda$. The physical degrees of freedom are in equivalent representations of the little group and so A and $\tilde{A}$ give equivalent field theory representations of the physical degrees of freedom.

A physical gauge graviton is a transverse traceless tensor h_{ij} satisfying

$$h_{ij} = h_{ji}, \qquad h_i{}^i = 0 \tag{6.8}$$

One or both of the indices on h_{ij} can then be replaced by n anti-symmetric indices to give a dual form. Choosing $D = 5, n = 3$ for simplicity, one can define

$$D_{ij\,k} = \epsilon_{ijl} h^l{}_k \tag{6.9}$$

so that (6.8) implies the conditions

$$D_{ij\,k} = -D_{ji\,k}, \qquad D_{ij}{}^j = 0, \qquad D_{[ij\,k]} = 0 \tag{6.10}$$

or

$$C_{ij\,kl} = \epsilon_{ijm} \epsilon_{kln} h^{mn} \tag{6.11}$$

which then satisfies

$$C_{ijkl} = -C_{jikl} = -C_{ijlk} = C_{klij}; \qquad C_{[ijk]l} = 0, \qquad C^k{}_{jkl} = 0 \tag{6.12}$$

These are precisely the conditions (5.2),(5.5) found previously in another context, and covariant gauge fields $C_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma}$ and $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$ which reduce to $C_{ij\,kl}$ or $D_{ij\,k}$ can be found as in the last section. These then give three equivalent field representations of the same degrees of freedom, and the duality of gauge theory generalises to a *triality* of (linearised) gravitational theories.

In gauge theory, electric charges couple naturally to A but give a dual potential $\tilde{A}$ with Dirac string singularities while magnetic sources couple to $\tilde{A}$ but give an A with string singularities. This generalises to linearised gravity, in which there are three types of fields, h, C and D, and if a source leads to a non-singular configuration for one of the three fields h, C, D, then that configuration can in general have string singularities when expressed in terms of one of the other two fields. It seems natural to suppose that sources for all three fields could arise in the full theory. This would mean that, when formulated in terms of $h_{\mu\nu}$ alone, some string singularities in $h_{\mu\nu}$ should be anticipated.

Consider in particular the BPS states carrying the charge K that were discussed in section 3. Reducing to $D=4$ gives magnetic monopoles which have vector potentials A with Dirac string singularities and, as A appears explicitly in the Kaluza-Klein ansatz for the $D=5$ metric, the $D=5$ metric will also have string singularities. In $D=4$, the string singularities can be avoided by using the dual vector potential $\tilde{A}$, and this appears in the Kaluza-Klein ansatz for the $D=5$ dual field $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$, as $D_{m44} \sim \tilde{A}_m$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \ldots, 4$ and $m, n = 0, 1, 2, 3$). This suggests that in a dual formulation of gravity using $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$ instead of $h_{\mu\nu}$, states carrying the charge K could correspond to field configurations without Dirac string singularities.

7. Dimensional Reduction

The dimensional reduction of a 2-form gauge field B_{MN} from 6 to 5 dimensions gives a vector field $A_\mu = B_{\mu 5}$ and a 2-form $b_{\mu\nu}$ (where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \ldots 4$ and $M, N = 0, 1, \ldots 5$). In 5 dimensions, a vector field is dual to a 2-form field $\tilde{A}$, with $d\tilde{A} = *dA$. If B is self-dual in $D=6$, $dB = *dB$, then in 5 dimensions $db = *dA$, so that $b = \tilde{A}$ is the 2-form gauge field dual to the photon. Then A and b are not independent and the theory can be written in terms of A alone. Thus a self-dual B_{MN} reduces to a vector field A in $D=5$. Moreover, the self-duality condition implies that A satisfies the source-free Maxwell equations.

A 4th rank gauge field $C_{MN\,PQ}$ with the algebraic properties (5.9) gives on reduction the fields

$$h_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu 5\,\nu 5}, \qquad d_{\mu\nu\,\rho} = C_{\mu\nu\,\rho 5}, \qquad c_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma} = C_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma} \tag{7.1}$$

However, it was seen in the last section that in the linearised $D=5$ theory a linearised graviton $h_{\mu\nu}$ has dual representations in terms of fields $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$ or $C_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma}$. The 4th gauge field $C_{MN\,PQ}$ occurring in the $D=6$ (4,0) multiplet satisfies the self-duality condition (5.13) which implies that the fields $d_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$, $c_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma}$ from dimensional reduction should be identified with the duals $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$, $C_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma}$ of the $D=5$ linearised graviton $h_{\mu\nu}$, so that the reduced theory can be written in terms of $h_{\mu\nu}$ alone, and the reduction of the exotic $D=6$ tensor gauge field $C_{MN\,PQ}$ gives a conventional $D=5$ graviton field $h_{\mu\nu}$. The relationship

$$R_{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda\rho}S^{\kappa\lambda\rho}{}_{\sigma\tau} \tag{7.2}$$

between the linearised Riemann curvature R and the field strength S for D and the identity

$$S_{[\mu\nu\rho\sigma]\tau} = 0 \tag{7.3}$$

together imply that $h_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the linearised Einstein equation, $R_{\mu\nu}=0$.

The reduction of a $D=6$ field $D_{MN\,P}$ satisfying (5.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mu\nu} &= D_{5(\mu\,\nu)}, \qquad & d_{\mu\nu\,\rho} &= D_{\mu\nu\,\rho} \\ A_\mu &= D_{\mu 5\,5}, \qquad & b_{\mu\nu} &= D_{\mu\nu\,5} \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

and if it satisfies the self-duality constraint (5.17), then the 2-form gauge field $b_{\mu\nu}$ is dual to the vector field A_μ and the field $d_{\mu\nu\,\rho}$ is dual to $h_{\mu\nu}$, so that the reduction of $D_{MN\,P}$ gives a graviton plus a vector field in $D=5$. Thus whereas the reduction of a $D=6$ graviton h_{MN} gives a graviton, a vector and a scalar, the reduction of $D_{MN\,P}$ gives a graviton and a vector but no scalar, and the reduction of $C_{MN\,PQ}$ gives a graviton only.

Similarly, the reduction of the $D=6$ fermionic field $\psi^{\alpha a}_{MN}$ satisfying the self-duality constraint (5.20) gives the $D=5$ gravitini $\psi^{\alpha a}_{\mu}$ of $D=5, N=8$ supergravity, satisfying the linearised $D=5$ Rarita-Schwinger equation.

The reduction of the other fields in the (2,2),(3,1) and (4,0) $D=6$ multiplets is straightforward, and the dimensional reduction of all three $D=6$ multiplets give the same $D=5$ multiplet, the $N=8$ supergravity multiplet. Thus the dimensional reduction of the free $D=6$ field theories of the (2,2),(3,1) and (4,0) multiplets all give the same theory, the linearised $D=5$, $N=8$ supergravity. This was of course to be expected; although there are three multiplets with 32 supersymmetries in $D=6$, there is only one in $D=5$ (with 'spins' not greater than two).

If the interacting gauge or gravity theories can be expressed as interacting theories of the dual variables $\tilde{A}$, C or D introduced in the last section, then the interactions could not be of a standard type, but they could be M-interactions. Indeed, the reduction of the (2,0) theory to 5-dimensions is naturally expressed in terms of a 2-form potential B (together with its dual vector potential) and the $D=6$ M-interactions should give an M-interacting theory of a 2-form gauge field in $D=5$. However, this is dual to a theory of A alone with the standard Yang-Mills interactions. In the same way, reducing the M-interacting (4,0) theory (if such a theory exists) would give an M-interacting theory in $D=5$ in terms of gauge fields C, D and h, which can be dualised to a theory of h alone with the standard local gravitational interactions. Thus M-interactions should play a central role in the dual formulations of gravity or gauge theory.

8. BPS States in Five Dimensions

The full $N=2n$ superalgebra in 4+1 dimensions is given by (2.6) with $a, b=1, \ldots, N$. For $N=8$, the charges and the BPS states carrying them are as follows [16]. The 27 central charges Z^{ab} are the electric charges for the 27 abelian vector fields and are carried by electrically charged 0-branes, the 27 Z_i^{ab} with spatial indices $i, j=1, ..., 4$ are the corresponding magnetic charges carried by magnetically charged strings, and the charge K is the gravitational charge of [16], carried by BPS instantonic 0-branes. There are also 2-branes coupling to axionic scalars with charge Z_{ij}^{ab}, domain wall 3-branes with charge $\hat{Z}_{ijk}^{ab}=\epsilon_{ijkl} Z^{0l\,ab}$ and space-filling 4-branes with charge $\hat{Z}_{ijkl}^{ab}=\epsilon_{ijkl} Z_0^{ab}$.

The massive particle representations with central charges Z^{ab}, K were classified in [17]. The supercharges transform as a $(2,1;N)+(1,2;N)$ under the rest-frame little group $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times Sp(n)$, giving the 'chiral' supercharges $Q_{\pm}^a$ with

$Q^a_\pm = \pm\Gamma^0 Q^a_\pm$. For BPS representations with mass saturating a bound in terms of the central charges Z^{ab}, K which are invariant under the action of $2r_+$ of the 2-component supercharges Q_+ and $2r_-$ of the 2-component supercharges Q_-, so that the fraction of supersymmetry preserved is $\nu = (r_+ + r_-)/2n$, the states will fit into a representation of the supersymmetry algebra generated by the remaining $4p$ positive chirality supersymmetries and $4q$ negative chirality ones, with $p = n - r_+$, $q = n - r_-$. This is the (p,q) massive $D = 5$ supermultiplet of [17], and the dimensional reduction on a circle of a massless representation of (p,q) supersymmetry in 5+1 dimensions gives a Kaluza-Klein tower of massive multiplets in 4+1 dimensions, each of which is a (p,q) massive $D = 5$ supermultiplet with the same p and q. The central charge Z breaks the $Sp(n)$ to a subgroup and the representation can be decomposed into representations of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$, which is also the little group for massless representations of the (p,q) superalgebra in 5+1 dimensions.

Of particular interest are those BPS representations preserving 1/2 the supersymmetry. For $N = 4$, there are two distinct such massive representations, the (2,0) (or (0,2)) multiplet and the (1,1) multiplet. The massive vector multiplet is a (1,1) multiplet while the massive tensor multiplet is a (2,0) multiplet. For $N = 8$, there are three massive representations preserving 1/2 the supersymmetry, the (2,2),(3,1) and (4,0) representations, with little group decompositions given by (4.1),(4.2) and (4.4) respectively [17].

Free field theory representations can be found from the massive Kaluza-Klein modes of the circle compactifications of the massless (p,q) representations in 6 dimensions discussed in previous sections. For example, the massive (3,1) multiplet in 5 dimensions has a (4,2;1,1) of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times Sp(3) \times Sp(1)$, which is carried by a field $D_{\mu\nu\,\rho} = D_{[\mu\nu]\,\rho}$ with $D_{[\mu\nu\,\rho]} = 0$ satisfying the massive $D = 5$ self-duality constraint

$$\partial_{[\sigma} D_{\mu\nu]\,\rho} = m \epsilon_{\sigma\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} D^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\rho} \tag{8.1}$$

with mass m.

BPS 0-brane states preserving 1/2 the supersymmetry in (2,2),(3,1) and (4,0) multiplets all occur in the $D = 5$ supergravity theory. Those in (2,2) multiplets all have $K = 0$ and $Z \neq 0$ and the supergravity solutions are electrically charged black holes, while those in (3,1) multiplets have both K and Z non-zero. Those in (4,0) multiplets have $Z = 0$ and $K \neq 0$ and the supergravity solutions are gravitational instantons lifted to 4+1 dimensions (self-dual instantons are in (4,0) multiplets while anti-self-dual ones are in (0,4) multiplets).

Compactification of a (p, q) supersymmetric theory in 5+1 dimensions on a circle of radius R gives a Kaluza-Klein tower of massive (p, q) $D = 5$ supermultiplets of masses n/R for all positive integers n. Conversely, a limit of a five dimensional $N = 8$ theory in which a mass $M \to 0$ and a tower of massive (p, q) multiplets (with $p+q = 4$) of masses nM, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, becomes light could be a decompactification limit in which an extra dimension opens up to give a 6-dimensional theory with (p, q) supersymmetry. In particular, a 0-brane with mass $M = |K| \propto n/l$ will fit into a $D = 5$ (4,0) multiplet. The (4,0) multiplet has a free-field representation with 42 massive scalars, 27 massive self-dual 2-form fields B satisfying

$$dB = m * B \tag{8.2}$$

and a massive field $C_{\mu\nu\,\rho\sigma}$ satisfying

$$\partial_{[\tau} C_{\mu\nu]\,\rho\sigma} = m \epsilon_{\mu\nu\tau\alpha\beta} C^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\rho\sigma} \tag{8.3}$$

If these 0-branes are to be interpreted as Kaluza-Klein modes for a massless 6-dimensional theory compactified on a circle of radius $R = l$, then that 6-dimensional theory must be (4,0) supersymmetric and have 42 scalars, 27 self-dual 2-forms and a self-dual $C_{MN\,PQ}$ gauge field, as before.

9. BPS Branes in Six Dimensions

The (4,0) theory has 27 self-dual 2-forms and these couple to 27 self-dual BPS strings, or more precisely, to strings whose charges take values in a 27-dimensional lattice. In addition, there are 42 scalars and these can couple to BPS 3-branes in 6 dimensions.

The (4,0) superalgebra in six dimensions with central charges is

$$\begin{aligned}\{Q^a_\alpha, Q^b_\beta\} = \Omega^{ab}\big(\Pi_+\Gamma^M C\big)_{\alpha\beta}P_M + \big(\Pi_+\Gamma^M C\big)_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab}_M \\ + \frac{1}{6}.\big(\Pi_+\Gamma^{MNP} C\big)_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab}_{MNP}\end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where Π_+ is the chiral projector

$$\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \Gamma^7) \tag{9.2}$$

The 27 1-form charges satisfy $Z^{ab}_M = -Z^{ba}_M$, $Z^{ab}_M\Omega_{ab} = 0$, while $Z^{ab}_{MNP} = Z^{ba}_{MNP}$ and is a self-dual 3-form,

$$Z^{ab}_{MNP} = \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{MNPQRS}Z^{ab\,QRS} \tag{9.3}$$

The 27 charges Z^{ab}_i with spatial indices $i, j = 1, \dots, 5$ are the string charges, and Z^{ab}_{ijk} are the 36 3-brane charges, while Z^{ab}_0 are the charges for space-filling 5-branes. (Note that the self-duality condition (9.3) implies that Z_{0ij} are not independent charges.)

On dimensional reduction to 5 dimensions, the charges decompose as

$$Z^{ab}_M \to (Z^{ab}_\mu, Z^{ab}_5 = Z^{ab}), \qquad P^M \to (P^\mu, P^5 = K), \qquad Z^{ab}_{MNP} \to Z^{ab}_{\mu\nu 5} = Z^{ab}_{\mu\nu} \tag{9.4}$$

The extra component of momentum becomes the K-charge, so that the 5-dimensional instantonic 0-brane is, from the $D = 6$ viewpoint, a wave carrying momentum P^5 in the extra circular dimension. The electric 0-branes in $D = 5$ arise from strings winding around the 6th dimension while the magnetic strings arise from unwrapped $D = 6$ strings. The 2-branes and 3-branes in $D = 5$ arise from $D = 6$ 3-branes, while the space-filling $D = 5$ 4-branes come from wrapped $D = 6$ 5-branes. As in the (2,0) case reviewed in section 2, the strong coupling limit $l \to \infty$ should be one in which the tensions of the magnetic strings are kept finite if the BPS spectrums are to match, as will be discussed in the next section.

10. The Strong Coupling Limit of $D = 5, N = 8$ Supergravity

In the previous sections, evidence has been accumulated to support the conjecture that $D = 5, N = 8$ supergravity (embedded in some consistent theory) with 5-dimensional Planck-length l is a $D = 6$ (4,0) superconformal field theory compactified on a circle of radius $R = l$, so that the strong coupling limit $l \to \infty$ is one in which an extra dimension decompactifies. From the five-dimensional perspective, an infinite tower of BPS 0-branes in massive (4,0) multiplets carrying the central charge K become massless in this limit, signalling the opening up of an extra dimension. For M-theory compactified on T^6, this gives a six-dimensional strong-coupling 'phase' which is (4,0) supersymmetric and which contains the (4,0) superconformal field theory.

For the free theories, it has been shown that the linearised $D = 5$ supergravity with Planck length l is given by the dimensional reduction of the (4,0) tensor theory, formulated as a theory of free fields propagating in a fixed background space-time which is a product of 5-dimensional Minkowski space and a circle of radius $R = l$. For the interacting $D = 5$ supergravity, the conjecture requires that there be an interacting form of the (4,0) tensor theory which is also superconformal and whose dimensional reduction gives the full supergravity, although there are no local covariant interactions for the (4,0) multiplet with this property. This requires that the 6-dimensional theory have some magical form of M-interactions which give the non-polynomial supergravity interactions on reduction. It could be that these are some non-local or non-covariant self-interactions of the (4,0) multiplet, or it could be that other degrees of freedom might be needed; one candidate might be some form of string field theory. However, if a strong coupling limit of the theory does exist that meets the requirements assumed here, then the limit must be a (4,0) theory in six dimensions, and this would predict the existence of M-interactions arising from the strong coupling limit of the supergravity interactions. Although it has not been possible to prove the existence of such a limit, it is remarkable that there is such a simple candidate theory for the limit with so many properties in common with the (2,0) limit of the $D = 5$ gauge theory. Conversely, if there is a 6-dimensional phase of M-theory which has (4,0) supersymmetry, then its circle reduction to $D = 5$ must give an $N = 8$ supersymmetric theory and the scenario described here should apply.

Linearised gravity has dual descriptions in terms of exotic tensor fields propagating in a fixed background, and these play an important role in the construction used here. The triality between the formulations of gravity in terms of h, C or D, together with the duality of abelian gauge theories, could have an extension to the interacting non-linear theories in which M-interactions play an important part. Such matters would need to be understood before questions of back-reaction and background independence can be addressed. However, it is intriguing that M-theory seems to be pointing to a more general framework than Riemannian geometry, and one which would be better suited to accommodate 'magnetic masses' in gravity.

Both the (2,0) and (4,0) theories are chiral and so are liable to anomalies. In both cases there are potential gravitational and supersymmetry anomalies, so that the coupling to gravity or supergravity is problematic. For the M5-brane world-volume theory, the coupling to gravity is such that these anomalies are cancelled against bulk effects through anomaly inflow [25], while for the IIB theory compactified on $K3$, the anomalies from the (2,0) tensor multiplets are cancelled by those from the (2,0) supergravity multiplet.

There is presumably some non-abelian M-symmetry in the M5-brane world-volume theory that reduces to the non-abelian gauge symmetry in five dimensions and in six dimensions reduces to the 2-form gauge invariance $\delta B = d\lambda$ in the abelian case. A conventional gauge symmetry in a chiral six-dimensional theory would typically be anomalous, but in the case of the M-symmetry of a theory with M-interactions, the supposed consistency of the theory suggests that such problems are absent. For the (4,0) theory, the inability to couple to gravity is not a problem: it is already a theory of gravity of sorts (at least it dimensionally reduces to supergravity) and so there is no need to couple to another gravity; if one did, there would be two gravitons on reduction to five dimensions. Indeed, there is no (4,0) supergravity to attempt to couple to. There are presumably M-symmetries in the (4,0) theory giving rise to the diffeomorphism symmetry and local supersymmetry of the $D = 5$ supergravity and which reduces to the symmetries (5.10),(5.18) in the free (4,0) theory. It seems plausible that, as for the (2,0) theory, the M-symmetry could be free from anomalies, and the consistency of the limit considered here would seem to require this.

The electrically charged 0-branes of $N = 4$ gauge theory and $N = 8$ supergravity in $D = 5$ are lifted to wrapped strings of the (2,0) and (4,0) theories, respectively. In both cases there are points in the $D = 5$ moduli spaces or their boundaries at which 0-branes become massless and as these points are approached, the tensions of certain $D = 6$ strings tend to zero. The (2,0) and (4,0) superconformal theories are very similar and both might be regarded as theories of tensionless strings, as in [6]. However, it is possible that both are in fact quantum field theories, with the superconformal invariance playing a crucial role in their consistency. That this should be the case for the (2,0) theory has been suggested in e.g. [15]. The possibility that the (4,0) theory could exist as an interacting superconformal field theory is remarkable, as this would be a quantum theory whose compactification would give $N = 8$ supergravity in 4 or 5 dimensions, together with massive fields.

In six-dimensional conformal field theory, the natural dimension for scalars is

2, and so it is useful to define the 42 dimension-2 scalars

$$\Phi = \phi/l^2 \tag{10.1}$$

in terms of the 42 dimensionless scalars ϕ of the $D = 5$ supergravity theory. Writing the 6-dimensional theory without coupling constants requires using Φ instead of ϕ, and, just as in the (2,0) theory, the appropriate limit in the linearised supergravity theory is $l \to \infty$ while Φ is kept fixed. Thus the limit involves scaling all the scalars ϕ in the same way, and can be viewed as a conformal transformation on the $D = 5$ moduli space, taking one towards the boundary of moduli space in every possible direction simultaneously. Such limits were not included in the analysis of [12,13].

The dimension of Φ makes it difficult to write down covariant local interactions in six dimensions without any explicit dimensionful parameters but which reduce to the interactions in 5-dimensions that are non-polynomial in ϕ. This has particular relevance for the tensions of branes in the theory. Choosing any particular scalar ϕ (which could be a string theory dilaton, or could be given by the volume of the M-theory 6-torus in Planck units $e^{\phi} = L/l_{11}$, for example), then the tension of a given p-brane in the 5-dimensional theory will depend on the asymptotic value ϕ_0 of ϕ in general and is typically given by a formula of the form (in Einstein frame and suppressing a constant of proportionality and dependance on the other scalars)

$$T_p = e^{\alpha_p \phi_0} \frac{1}{l^{p+1}} \tag{10.2}$$

for some α_p, which will depend on the choice of ϕ and on the choice of brane. For example, the part of the $D = 5$ supergravity action involving ϕ and a particular vector field A will be of the form

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{l^3} R - \frac{1}{4l} e^{2a\phi} F^2 - \frac{1}{2l^3} (\partial\phi)^2 + \dots \right) \tag{10.3}$$

for some a, and A will couple to an electric 0-brane solution with $\alpha_0 = a$ and a magnetic string with $\alpha_1 = -a$. The 2-form gauge field $\tilde{A}$ dual to A has field strength $H = e^{2a\phi} * F$, so that the $D = 6$ 2-form gauge field B which reduces to $A, \tilde{A}$ must satisfy a non-linear self-duality constraint whose ϕ dependence is given by

$$H = e^{2a\phi} * H \tag{10.4}$$

To lowest order in ϕ, (10.2) can be written in terms of the asymptotic value Φ_0 of Φ as

$$T_p = \left(\frac{1}{l^2} + \alpha_p \Phi_0 \right) \frac{1}{l^{p-1}} \tag{10.5}$$

dropping non-linear terms. For a magnetically charged $D = 5$ string with $p = 1$, this has a well-defined limit $\alpha_1 \Phi_0$ as $l \to \infty$, provided Φ is kept fixed (i.e. $\phi, l \to \infty$ keeping ϕ / l^2 fixed). The $D = 5$ string then lifts to a BPS string in $D = 6$ dimensions with tension $\alpha_1 \Phi_0$. Compactifying on a circle of radius R, a wrapped string of tension $\alpha_1 \Phi + 1/R^2$ gives a 0-brane of mass proportional to $-R\alpha_1 \Phi + 1/R$, which agrees with (10.5) for $p = 0$ (taking into account the ϕ dependence of (10.4) with $a = -\alpha_1$, so that $\alpha_0 = -\alpha_1$). Thus to lowest order in α_p the 6-dimensional strings give strings and 0-branes in $D = 5$ with the appropriate tensions.

It is interesting to ask how this could generalise to a non-linear theory with M-interactions. The tension of the BPS strings in six dimensions should depend on Φ but not on any dimensionful parameters. One possibility is that the tension could be of the form

$$T_1 = \alpha \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 (\Phi * \Phi)_0 + O(\alpha^3) \tag{10.6}$$

where $\Phi * \Phi$ is some 'M-product', which must be of dimension 2, even though the simple product Φ^2 has naive dimension 4, and which tends asymptotically to $(\Phi * \Phi)_0$. On dimensional reduction on a circle of radius R, this must give the 5-dimensional string tension, which requires that $(\Phi * \Phi)_0$ reduces to $\Phi_0^2 R^2 = \phi_0^2 R^2 l^{-4}$ in five dimensions (perhaps with small corrections). This would then give the correct result if $R = l$, and furthermore wrapped strings then give the correct 0-brane mass. This suggests that the $D = 5$ tension formula (10.2) should be modified to an expression involving a $*$ product, with the property that the standard supergravity results are recovered at low energies but the limit $l \to \infty$ can be taken in such a way that both Φ and the string tension remain finite.

The strings in 6-dimensions then have tensions which depend on the scalars Φ in some mysterious way, but on compactifying on a circle of finite radius $R = l$, they must give strings and 0-branes whose tensions depend on ϕ in the standard way (10.2) at low energies. In particular, at points in the moduli space of the 5-dimensional theory in which the masses of some 0-branes or tensions of some strings become zero, the tensions of corresponding strings in 6-dimensions should approach zero or infinity. The various strong-coupling limits of the 5-dimensional string theory (obtained by compactifying M-theory on T^6) that were analysed in [12, 13] in which 0-branes become massless all correspond to limits of the (4,0) theory in which some string tensions become infinite and others approach zero.

For example, consider the limit in which the volume in Planck units of the T^6 becomes large, $L/l_{11} \to \infty$. In that limit the Kaluza-Klein modes, viewed as 0-branes with charges in a 6-dimensional lattice, all become massless, signalling a decompactification to 11 dimensions. In addition, there are six 0-branes whose masses become infinite (from wrapped M5-branes), six strings that become tensionless (partially wrapped M2-branes) and six strings whose tensions become infinite (from wrapped Kaluza-Klein monopoles). Despite the presence of strings whose tensions approach zero, this limit of the 5-dimensional theory is a decompactification limit to M-theory in 11-dimensional Minkowski space [13]. However, if one first takes the strong gravitational coupling limit to obtain the 6-dimensional (4,0) theory, then instead of 6 types of 0-branes becoming massless, there are 6 types of strings (with charges in a 6-lattice) which are becoming tensionless, and a further 6 types of string whose tensions are becoming infinite. The interpretation is not clear here, and in particular whether it makes sense to think of this in terms of a further 6 dimensions. This limit is closely related to certain limits discussed in [13], where a 12-dimensional interpretation was considered.

The maximal supergravity theory in $D \leq 11$ dimensions together with its BPS states and their excitations contain much of non-perturbative string theory. For example, one of the BPS strings in the $D = 5, N = 8$ theory is the fundamental string for type II string theory compactified on T^5, and the perturbative string states arise from fluctuations of this string. In [11], the possibility that the full non-perturbative string theory might in some way be equivalent to an effective supergravity theory plus its solitons was suggested. An obvious drawback with this is that the supergravity theory does not appear to give a well-defined quantum theory. However, if the (4,0) theory is a consistent superconformal field theory, then this (with its BPS states) could give a formulation of M-theory, with the string modes and Kaluza-Klein modes all carried by strings. One of the BPS strings will give the perturbative type II string on compactification to $D = 5$, while others will give Kaluza-Klein modes. Compactifying to $D = 5$ and then going to various boundaries of the moduli space will give decompactifications to other vacua of M-theory, including 11-dimensional Minkowski space.

Alternatively, it could be that the (4,0) superconformal field theory is a sector of a larger $D = 6$ (4,0) supersymmetric theory arising from the strong coupling limit of M-theory compactified on T^6. If the (4,0) superconformal field theory is consistent in its own right, it might then arise as a decoupling limit of the full theory. However, many of the known degrees of freedom of M-theory are already contained in the (4,0) superconformal field theory as BPS branes and their excitations. The issue is perhaps whether the BPS branes (and their excitations) can be treated properly, possibly as solitons of some sort, in the (4,0) superconformal field theory, or whether they should be regarded instead as independent degrees of freedom (e.g. some sort of string fields) that need to be introduced in addition. Similar issue arise in the (2,0) tensor theory and even in $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions, where an infinite number of BPS states that are usually treated as solitons – the magnetic monopoles and dyons – become massless at particular points in the moduli space [22].

Limits similar to the one considered here in other dimensions and other theories will be considered in a separate publication. It seems that there could be many phases of M-theory which do not have a conventional field theory description but which involve M-interactions, and understanding these should be of considerable importance in unravelling the secrets of M-theory.

REFERENCES

1. M. Rozali, Phys. Lett. **B400** (1997) 260, hep-th/9702136.
2. M. Berkooz, M. Rozali, and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B408** (1997) 105, hep-th/9704089.
3. N.Seiberg, hep-th/9705117.
4. X. Bekaert, M. Henneaux and A. Sevrin, Phys. Lett. **B468** (1999) 228; hep-th/9909094.
5. A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. **B383** (1996) 44, hep-th/9512059; P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **B373** (1996) 68, hep-th/9512062.
6. E. Witten, in Proceedings of Strings 95, hep-th/9507121.
7. N. Seiberg, Phys.Lett. **B408** (1997) 98, hep-th/9705221.
8. R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, hep-th/9603126; hep-th/9604055.
9. O. Aharony, hep-th/9911147.
10. E. Cremmer, in *Supergravity and Superspace*, S.W. Hawking and M. Roček, C.U.P. Cambridge, 1981.
11. C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B438** (1995) 109; hep-th/9410167.
12. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85, hep-th/9503124.
13. C.M. Hull, Nucl. Phys. **B468** (1996) 113, hep-th/9512181.
14. O. Aharony, M. Berkooz and N. Seiberg, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 119; hep-th/97012117.

15. N. Seiberg, Phys.Lett. **B390** (1997) 169; hep-th/9609161.

16. C.M. Hull, Nucl. Phys. **B509** (1997) 252, hep-th/9705162.

17. C.M. Hull, hep-th/0004086.

18. B. Julia in *Supergravity and Superspace*, S.W. Hawking and M. Roček, C.U.P. Cambridge, 1981.

19. G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. **78B** (1978) 430.

20. G.W. Gibbons and M.J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B248** (1984) 629.

21. R. Sorkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 87 ; D. Gross and M. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B226** (1983) 29.

22. C.M. Hull and P.K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B451** (1995) 525, hep-th/9505073.

23. J. Strathdee, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A2** (1987) 273.

24. P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **139B** (1984) 283.

25. E. Witten, J. Geom. Phys. **22** (1997) 103, hep-th/9610234; L. Bonora, C.S. Chu, M. Rinaldi, JHEP **9712** (1997) 007, hep-th/9710063; D. Freed, J. A. Harvey, R. Minasian, G. Moore, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 601, hep-th/9803205.